THE DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY 1 | OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY 2 INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 3 4 5 DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY. 6 Complainant. 7 COMPLAINT NO. 2003-2 ٧. 8 9 JOSEPH R. BANISTER. Respondent. 10 11 COMES NOW the Complainant, Brien T. Downing, who in his official capacity as 12 Director of the Office of Professional Responsibility (formerly the Office of the Director of 13 Practice), Internal Revenue Service, files this complaint pursuant to 31 C.F.R. §§ 10.60 14 and 10.91, issued under authority of 31 U.S.C. §330, and makes the following allegations 15 of fact and charges of misconduct against the above-named Respondent. All citations to 16 17 31 C.F.R. §§10.0 et seq. are also found in corresponding sections of Treasury Department Circular No. 230. 1.8 19 I. (A) At all times material hereto, Respondent was a Certified Public Accountant 20 21 (CPA). See Complainant's Exhibits 1-9, 12 and 14. Respondent has engaged in practice before the Internal Revenue Service. See Complainant's Exhibits 5, 6, 7, 12 and 14. 22 Respondent's last address of record with the Internal Revenue Service is 2282 Sunny 23 Vista Drive, San Jose, California 95128. 24 25 (B) As a Certified Public Accountant (CPA) who has engaged in federal tax practice before the Internal Revenue Service, Respondent is bound by 31 C.F.R. §§10.0 et seq. 26 27 and the rules and regulations contained therein. 28

 Respondent is a former Internal Revenue Service Criminal Investigation Special Agent. He was a Special Agent for approximately five (5) years. See Complainant's Exhibits 4, 5, 10, and 14.

IH.

Respondent is subject to disbarment or suspension from practice before the Internal Revenue Service by virtue of 31 C.F.R. §10.50 for his violation of the rules and regulations in 31 C.F.R. Part 10; specifically, Respondent engaged in disreputable conduct in violation of 31 C.F.R. §§ 10.34, 10.51, 10.51(d), 10.51(j),10.22(b), and 10.22(c) as set forth below.

- (A) Respondent engaged in disreputable conduct in violation of 31 C.F.R. §§ 10.51, 10.51(d), 10.51(j),10.22(b), and 10.22(c) in that:
 - (1) Respondent advised taxpayer Frank W. Coleman that he was not liable for income taxes for the years 1989 through 1998 because the Sixteenth Amendment to the Constitution was "not ratified." See Complainant's Exhibits 13 and 14.
 - (2) Respondent advised taxpayer Frank W. Coleman that he was not liable for income taxes for the years 1989 through 1998 because. Internal Revenue Code (I.R.C.) § 861 and the regulations thereunder defined "source" of income in such a way as to exclude Mr. Coleman's income from taxation. See Complainant's Exhibits 11, 12, 13, and 14.
 - (3) Respondent advised taxpayer Walter A. Thompson that he was not liable for income taxes for 1996 and 1998 because his income for the stated tax years was not taxable income per I.R.C. §§ 861-865 because I.R.C. § 861 and the regulations thereunder defined "source" of income in such a way as to exclude Mr. Thompson's income from taxation. See Complainant's Exhibits 8 and 9.
- (B) Respondent engaged in disreputable conduct in violation of 31 C.F.R. § 10.34 in that:

1.3

1.1

28 .

Page three of four

On February 29, 2000, and on January 31, 2000, Respondent signed as the preparer for taxpayer Walter A. Thompson's Amended U.S. Tax Returns (Forms1040X) for calendar years 1996 and 1998, respectively. The aforementioned amended returns stated that Mr. Thompson's income for the stated tax years was not taxable income per I.R.C. §§ 861-865. Said returns were filed with the Internal Revenue Service. See Complainant's Exhibits 8 and 9.

IV.

- (A) By his conduct as specified in paragraphs III(A)(1), III(A)(2), III(A)(3) and III(B) above, Respondent failed to exercise due diligence in violation of 31 C.F.R. § 10.22 (b) and (c) and engaged in disreputable conduct in violation of 31 C.F.R. § 10.51. Specifically, Respondent gave advice to taxpayer Frank Coleman and to taxpayer Walter A. Thompson that had no basis in law or fact; and while representing taxpayer Coleman and taxpayer Thompson before the Internal Revenue Service, Respondent took a position that had no substantive basis in law or fact.
- (B) By his conduct as specified in paragraphs III(A)(1), III(A)(2) and III(A)(3) above, Respondent knowingly counseled taxpayer Frank Coleman and taxpayer Walter A. Thompson of an illegal plan to evade Federal taxes or the payment thereof in violation of 31 C.F.R. § 10.51(d).
- (C) By his conduct as specified in paragraphs IIIA(1)(2) and (3) above, Respondent violated 31 C.F.R. § 10.51(j) by providing false opinions, either knowingly, recklessly, or through gross incompetence, to taxpayer Frank Coleman and to taxpayer Walter A. Thompson.
- (D) By his conduct as specified in paragraphs III(A)(1), III(A)(2), III(A)(3) and III(B) above, Respondent violated 31 C.F.R. §§ 10.22(b) and (c) by failing to exercise due diligence in determining the correctness of oral and/or written representations he made to taxpayer Frank Coleman, to taxpayer Walter A. Thompson, and to the Internal Revenue Service.

(E) By his conduct as specified in paragraph III(B) above, Respondent violated 1 " 31 C.F.R. § 10.34 by signing as the preparer of federal income tax returns that did not have 2 a realistic possibility of being sustained on their merits and were clearly frivolous. 3 Respondent's actions in paragraphs III(A)(1), III(A)(2), III(A)(3) and III(B), evidence 4 disreputable conduct that warrants his disbarment from practice before the Internal 5 WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that Respondent be disbarred from Revenue Service. 6 practice before the Internal Revenue Service pursuant to the provisions of 31 C.F.R. 7 §§10.50 and 10.70, issued under the authority of 31 U.S.C. § 330. 8 II9 BRIEN T. DOWNING 10 Office of Professional Responsibility Internal Revenue Service 11 12 By: 13 14 (General Legal Services) II15 Dated: <u>I/A/i 1/9</u> /2003 16 H17 18 II//19 //20 //21 //22 23 //H24 25 H26 27 Page four of four