June 25, 2004

By Facsimile 2 Reqular Mail:
Cono R. Namorato
Director, Office of
Professional Responsibility
Internal Revenue Service
Room 7217
1111 Constitution Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20224
By Facsimile \& Regular Mall:
Jay J. Kessler, Esquire
Internal Revenue Service
Office of Chief Counsel
333 Market Street, Suite 1200
San Francisco, CA 94104

## By Requiar Mail:

Joseph R. Banister, CPA
2282 Sunny Vista Drive
San Jose, CA 95128

> Re: Director, Office of Professional Responsibility
> v. Joseph R. Banister, Complaint No. 2003-02

## Gentlemen:

 Enclosed please find the Decision on Appeal, constituting Final Agencyin the above-referenced matter. Action in the above-referenced matter.

By Facsimile \& Certified \& Regular Mail:
Robert G. Bernhoft, Esquire
Robert E. Barnes, Esquire
207 East Buffalo Street, Suite 600
Milwaukee, WI 53202

Sincerely,


David F. P. O'Connor
Special Counsel to the Senior Counsel
Office of Chief Counsel Internal Revenue Service (Acting as Delegate of the Secretary of the Treasury)

## United States <br> Department of the Treasury

Director, Office of Professional Responsibility, )
Appellee-Complainant,
V.

Joseph R. Banister,

COMPLAINT
NO. 2003-02

Appellant-Respondent.

## Decision on Appeal

Under the authority of General Counsel Order No. 9 (January 19, 2001) and the authority vested in her as Acting Assistant General Counsel of the Treasuiy who was the Acting Chief Counsel for the Internal Revenue Service, on April 9, 2004, C.nity A. Paiker deiegated to the undersigned the authority to decide disciplinary appeals to the Secretary of the Treasury filed under Part 10 of Title 31, Code of Federal Regulations (Rev. 72002) ("Practice Before the Internal Revenue Service," sometimes known and hereafter referred to as "Treasury Circular 230"). This is such an appeal by the Respondent, Joseph R. Banister, filed pursuant to $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 . 7 7}$ of Treasury Circular 230.

Pursuant to $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 . 9 1}$ of Treasury Circular 230, any proceedings under 'Treasury Circular 230 instituted after July 26, 2002, are governed by Subparts D (the Rules Applicable to Disciplinary Proceedings, $\mathbf{\$} \mathbf{\$ 1 0 . 6 0 - 1 0 . 8 2}$ ) and $E$ (the General Provisions, $\mathbf{\$ § 1 0 . 9 0 - 1 0 . 9 3 ) ~ o f ~ T r e a s u r y ~ C i r c u l a r ~ 2 3 0 , ~ b u t ~ c o n d u c t ~}$ engaged in prior to July 26, 2002 is judged by the provisions of

## 5. Final Agency Action

For the reasons set forth above, I:
AFFIRM Judge Moran's findings with respect to the charges made in the Initial Complaint;

VACATE, WITHOUT REMAND AND WITHOUT PREJUDICE, Judge Moran's findings with respect to the charges first made in the Amended Complaint; and

AFFIRM, on the basis of charges contained in the Initial Complaint, and ADOPT as FINAL AGENCY ACTION Judge Moran's Decision DISBARRING Respondent from practice before the Internal Revenue Service.


June 25, 2004
Washington, DC

